

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SC 9990)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

CYNTHIA STIER (DCBN 423256)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
9th Floor Federal Building  
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7000  
Assistant United States Attorney

E-Mail: [cynthia.stier@usdoj.gov](mailto:cynthia.stier@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
ROGER MAI, )  
 )  
Defendant. )

No.CR 07-0628-JSW

**STIPULATION AND  
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER REGARDING  
EXCLUSION OF TIME**

Defendant, Roger Mai, came before the Court for a status conference on October 25, 2007.  
At that hearing, the matter was re-scheduled to November 15, 2007.

The parties agreed, and the Court found, that the time between October 25, 2007, through November 15, 2007, is properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(iv). The parties represent and this Court found that this delay is necessary to allow counsel for the Defendant to effectively prepare. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

For the foregoing reasons, and as stated on the record at the hearing on October 25, 2007, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between October 25, 2007, through November 15, 2007, is excluded from the speedy trial calculation under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(iv).

DATED: October 26, 2007

/s/ Cynthia Stier  
CYNTHIA STIER  
Counsel for United States

DATED: October 26, 2007

/s/ Daniel Blank  
DANIEL BLANK  
Counsel for Roger Mai

### ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, and as stated on the record at the October 25, 2007 hearing in this matter, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between October 25, 2007 and November 15, 2007, excluded from the speedy trial calculation under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 29, 2007

  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
United States District Judge